LIDAR AND TRUE-COLOR ORTHOPHOTOGRAPHS AIRBORNE DATA ACQUISITION AND PROCESSING:

Lassen and Plumas National Forests Delivery 1 : Blacks Mountain Experimental Forest and Storrie Fire

October 29th, 2009

D. The

Submitted to:

U.S. Forest Service R-5 Sierra Cascade Province Lassen, Plumas and Modoc National Forests 2550 Riverside Dr Susanville, CA 96130



Submitted by:

Watershed Sciences 257B SW Madison Ave. Corvallis, OR 97333

529 SW 3rd Ave. Suite 300 Portland, Oregon 97204



LIDAR AND TRUE-COLOR ORTHOPHOTOGRAPHS AIRBORNE DATA ACQUISITION AND PROCESSING:

LASSEN AND PLUMAS NATIONAL FORESTS

DELIVERY 1: BLACKS MOUNTAIN EXPERIMENTAL FOREST AND STORRIE FIRE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. Overview	1
2. Acquisition	2
2.1 Airborne Survey - Instrumentation and Methods	2
2.2 Ground Survey - Instrumentation and Methods	3
2.2.1 Survey Control	3 3
3. Data Processing	6
3.1 Applications and Work Flow Overview	6
3.2 Aircraft Kinematic GPS and IMU Data	7
3.3 Laser Point Processing	7
3.4 Orthophotograph Processing	8
4. LiDAR Accuracy Assessment	8
4.1 Laser Noise and Relative Accuracy 8	8
4.2 Absolute Accuracy	9
5. Photo Accuracy Assessment	0
6. Study Area Results	1
6.1 Data Summary	1
6.2 LiDAR Point Density/Resolution1	1
6.3 LiDAR Relative Accuracy Calibration Results18	8
6.4 LiDAR Absolute Accuracy	8
6.5 Orthophotograph Accuracy	0
6.6 Projection/Datum and Units22	2
7. Deliverables	2
8. Selected Images	2
9. Glossary	8
10. Citations	8
Appendix	8

1. Overview

Watershed Sciences, Inc. (WS) collected Light Detection and Ranging (LiDAR) data and truecolor orthophotographs of Lassen and Plumas National Forests between July 31st and August 11th, 2009. This report contains the LiDAR and true-color orthophotograph data and analysis for the Blacks Mountain Experimental Forest and Storrie Fire areas of interest. The Cub Complex and Meadow Valley AOIs will be delivered at a later date. The total area currently being delivered is 70,407 acres (**Figure 1**). The total requested area was expanded to include a 100m buffer to ensure complete coverage and adequate LiDAR point densities around survey area boundaries.

Figure 1. Survey areas for Lassen and Plumas National Forests. Current delivery contains the Blacks Mountain Experimental Forest and the Storrie Fire.



LiDAR and True-Color Orthophotographs: Airborne Data Acquisition and Processing: Lassen and Plumas National Forests

2. Acquisition

2.1 Airborne Survey - Instrumentation and Methods

The LiDAR survey used a Leica ALS50 Phase II laser system. For the Lassen and Plumas survey sites, the sensor scan angle was $\pm 14^{\circ}$ from nadir¹ with a pulse rate designed to yield an average native density (number of pulses emitted by the laser system) of ≥ 8 points per square meter over terrestrial surfaces in the Blacks Mountain Experimental Forest and ≥ 4 points per square meter in the Storrie Fire, Cub Complex, and Meadow Valley survey areas. All areas were surveyed with an opposing flight line side-lap of $\geq 50\%$ ($\geq 100\%$ overlap) to reduce laser shadowing and increase surface laser painting. The Leica ALS50 Phase II system allows up to four range measurements (returns) per pulse, and all discernable laser returns were processed for the output dataset.

The aerial imagery was collected using a Leica RCD-105 39 megapixel digital camera. For the Lassen and Plumas survey, images were collected in 3 spectral bands (red, green, blue) with 60% along track overlap and 30% sidelap between frames. The acquisition flight parameters were designed to yield native pixel resolution of \leq 30cm.



The Cessna Caravan is a stable platform, ideal for flying slow and low for high density projects. The Leica ALS50 sensor head installed in the Caravan is shown on the left.

To accurately solve for laser point and image position (geographic coordinates x, y, z), the positional coordinates of the airborne sensor and the attitude of the aircraft were recorded continuously throughout the LiDAR and photo collection mission. Aircraft position was measured twice per second (2 Hz) by an onboard differential GPS unit. Aircraft attitude was measured 200 times per second (200 Hz) as pitch, roll and yaw (heading) from an onboard inertial measurement unit (IMU). To allow for post-processing correction and calibration, aircraft/sensor position and attitude data are indexed by GPS time.

¹ Nadir refers to the perpendicular vector to the ground directly below the aircraft. Nadir is commonly used to measure the angle from the vector and is referred to a "degrees from nadir".

LiDAR and True-Color Orthophotographs: Airborne Data Acquisition and Processing: Lassen and Plumas National Forests

2.2 Ground Survey - Instrumentation and Methods

The following ground survey data were collected to enable the geo-spatial correction of the aircraft positional coordinate data collected throughout the flight, and to allow for quality assurance checks on final LiDAR data products.

2.2.1 Survey Control

Simultaneous with the airborne data collection mission, multiple static (1 Hz recording frequency) ground surveys were conducted over monuments with known coordinates in the Lassen and Plumas National Forests (**Table 1**). Indexed by time, these GPS data are used to correct the continuous onboard measurements of aircraft position recorded throughout the mission. Multiple sessions were processed over the same monument to confirm antenna height measurements and reported position accuracy. Controls were located within 13 nautical miles of the mission area(s).



Table 1. Base Station Survey Control coordinates for Blacks Mountain Experimental Forest and Storrie Fire study areas.

Baco Station ID	Datum: NAD	GRS80	
Dase Station iD	Latitude	Longitude	Ellipsoid Z (m)
NGS_DH6599	40° 38' 22.47912"	121° 09' 23.06682"	1683.72
LS_DT1	40° 02′ 13.25836″	121° 11′ 14.71773″	1906.66
BMEF_EG1	40° 43′ 54.72373″	121°08′18.81089″	1750.65
NGS_5518	40° 0' 32.98088"	121°11′27.36422″	762.82
LA_SF_EG2	40° 07' 2.45207"	121°16′2.11137″	1560.77
LS_DT2	40°06′59.54187″	121°15′13.83536″	1375.14

2.2.2 RTK Survey

To enable assessment of LiDAR data accuracy, ground truth points were collected using GPS based real-time kinematic (RTK) surveying. For an RTK survey, the ground crew uses a roving unit to receive radio-relayed corrected positional coordinates for all ground points from a GPS base station set up over a survey control monument. Instrumentation includes multiple Trimble DGPS units (R8). RTK surveying allows for precise location measurements with an error (σ) of \leq 1.5 cm (0.6 in). Figure 2 below portrays a distribution of RTK point locations used for the survey areas.

LiDAR and True-Color Orthophotographs: Airborne Data Acquisition and Processing: Lassen and Plumas National Forests



Figure 2. RTK and base station locations used for Blacks Mountain and Storrie survey areas (1651 RTK points collected) (images created with LiDAR derived highest-hit model and true-color photos).

LiDAR and True-Color Orthophotographs: Airborne Data Acquisition and Processing: Lassen and Plumas National Forests



LiDAR and True-Color Orthophotographs: Airborne Data Acquisition and Processing: Lassen and Plumas National Forests

3. Data Processing

3.1 Applications and Work Flow Overview

1. Resolved kinematic corrections for aircraft position data using kinematic aircraft GPS and static ground GPS data.

Software: Waypoint GPS v.8.10, Trimble Geomatics Office v.1.62

- 2. Developed a smoothed best estimate of trajectory (SBET) file that blends post-processed aircraft position with attitude data. Sensor head position and attitude were calculated throughout the survey. The SBET data were used extensively for laser point processing. Software: IPAS v.1.4
- 3. Calculated laser point position by associating SBET position to each laser point return time, scan angle, intensity, etc. Created raw laser point cloud data for the entire survey in *.las (ASPRS v1.2) format.

Software: ALS Post Processing Software v.2.69

4. Imported raw laser points into manageable blocks (less than 500 MB) to perform manual relative accuracy calibration and filter for pits/birds. Ground points were then classified for individual flight lines (to be used for relative accuracy testing and calibration).

Software: TerraScan v.9.001

5. Using ground classified points per each flight line, the relative accuracy was tested. Automated line-to-line calibrations were then performed for system attitude parameters (pitch, roll, heading), mirror flex (scale) and GPS/IMU drift. Calibrations were performed on ground classified points from paired flight lines. Every flight line was used for relative accuracy calibration.

Software: TerraMatch v.9.001

6. Position and attitude data were imported. Resulting data were classified as ground and nonground points. Statistical absolute accuracy was assessed via direct comparisons of ground classified points to ground RTK survey data. Data were then converted to orthometric elevations (NAVD88) by applying a Geoid03 correction. Ground models were created as a triangulated surface and exported as ArcInfo ASCII grids at a 1-meter pixel resolution.

Software: TerraScan v.9.001, ArcMap v9.3, TerraModeler v.9.001

7. Converted raw images to tif format, calibrating raw image pixels for gain and exposure settings of each image.

Software: Leica Calibration Post Processing v.1.0.4

8. Calculated photo position and orientation by associating the SBET position (Step 3) to each image capture time.

Software: IPASCO v.1.3

9. Orthorectified calibrated tiffs utilizing photo orientation information (Step 8) and the LiDARderived ground surface (Step 6).

Software: Leica Photogrammetry Suite v.9.2

10. To correct light imbalances between overlapping images, radiometric global tilting adjustments were applied to the rectified images.

Software: OrthoVista v.4.4.1

 The color corrected images were then mosaicked together for the survey area and subset into tiles to make the file size more manageable.
 Software: OrthoVista v.4.4.1

LiDAR and True-Color Orthophotographs: Airborne Data Acquisition and Processing: Lassen and Plumas National Forests

3.2 Aircraft Kinematic GPS and IMU Data

LiDAR and image survey datasets were referenced to the 1 Hz static ground GPS data collected over pre-surveyed monuments with known coordinates. While surveying, the aircraft collected 2 Hz kinematic GPS data, and the onboard inertial measurement unit (IMU) collected 200 Hz aircraft attitude data. Leica IPAS Suite was used to process the kinematic corrections for the aircraft. The static and kinematic GPS data were then post-processed after the survey to obtain an accurate GPS solution and aircraft positions. Waypoint was used to develop a trajectory file that includes corrected aircraft position and attitude information. The trajectory data for the entire flight survey session were incorporated into a final smoothed best estimated trajectory (SBET) file that contains accurate and continuous aircraft positions and attitudes.

3.3 Laser Point Processing

Laser point coordinates were computed using the Leica ALS Post Processor software based on independent data from the LiDAR system (pulse time, scan angle), and aircraft trajectory data (SBET). Laser point returns (first through fourth) were assigned an associated (x, y, z) coordinate along with unique intensity values (0-255). The data were output into large LAS v. 1.2 files; each point maintains the corresponding scan angle, return number (echo), intensity, and x, y, z (easting, northing, and elevation) information.

These initial laser point files were too large for subsequent processing. To facilitate laser point processing, bins (polygons) were created to divide the dataset into manageable sizes (< 500 MB). Flightlines and LiDAR data were then reviewed to ensure complete coverage of the survey area and positional accuracy of the laser points.

Laser point data were imported into processing bins in TerraScan, and manual calibration was performed to assess the system offsets for pitch, roll, heading and scale (mirror flex). Using a geometric relationship developed by Watershed Sciences, each of these offsets was resolved and corrected if necessary.

LiDAR points were then filtered for noise, pits (artificial low points) and birds (true birds as well as erroneously high points) by screening for absolute elevation limits, isolated points and height above ground. Each bin was then manually inspected for remaining pits and birds and spurious points were removed. In a bin containing approximately 7.5-9.0 million points, an average of 50-100 points are typically found to be artificially low or high. Common sources of non-terrestrial returns are clouds, birds, vapor, haze, decks, brush piles, etc.

Internal calibration was refined using TerraMatch. Points from overlapping lines were tested for internal consistency and final adjustments were made for system misalignments (i.e., pitch, roll, heading offsets and scale). Automated sensor attitude and scale corrections yielded 3-5 cm improvements in the relative accuracy. Once system misalignments were corrected, vertical GPS drift was then resolved and removed per flight line, yielding a slight improvement (<1 cm) in relative accuracy.

LiDAR and True-Color Orthophotographs: Airborne Data Acquisition and Processing: Lassen and Plumas National Forests

The TerraScan software suite is designed specifically for classifying near-ground points (Soininen, 2004). The processing sequence began by 'removing' all points that were not 'near' the earth based on geometric constraints used to evaluate multi-return points. The resulting bare earth (ground) model was visually inspected and additional ground point modeling was performed in site-specific areas to improve ground detail. This manual editing of grounds often occurs in areas with known ground modeling deficiencies, such as: bedrock outcrops, cliffs, deeply incised stream banks, and dense vegetation. In some cases, automated ground point classification erroneously included known vegetation (i.e., understory, low/dense shrubs, etc.). These points were manually reclassified as non-grounds. Ground surface rasters were developed from triangulated irregular networks (TINs) of ground points.

3.4 Orthophotograph Processing

Image radiometric values were calibrated to specific gain and exposure settings associated with each capture using Leica's Calibration Post Processing software. The calibrated images were saved in tiff format to be used as inputs for the rectification process. Photo position and orientation was then calculated by assigning aircraft position and attitude information to each image by associating the time of image capture with trajectory file (SBET) in IPASCO. Photos were then orthorectified to the LiDAR derived ground surface using Leica Photogrammetry Suite (LPS). This typically results in <2 pixel relative accuracy between images. Relative accuracy can vary slightly with terrain but offsets greater than 2 pixels tend to manifest at the image edges which are typically removed in the mosaic process.

The rectified images were mosaicked together in a three step process using Orthovista. Firstly, color correction was applied to each image using global tilting adjustments designed to homogenize overlapping regions. Secondly, discrepancies between images were minimized by an automated seam generation process. The most nadir portion of each image was selected and seams were drawn around landscape features. The requested tile delineation $(1/16^{th} USGS quads)$ was too large for the high-resolution orthophotos, therefore the orthophotos were re-delineated into a more manageable size (~3000 x 3000m) appropriate to the pixel resolution and requested spatial reference.

4. LiDAR Accuracy Assessment

Our LiDAR quality assurance process uses the data from the real-time kinematic (RTK) ground survey conducted in the survey area. In this project, a total of **1651 RTK** GPS measurements were collected on hard surfaces distributed among multiple flight swaths. To assess absolute accuracy, we compared the location coordinates of these known RTK ground survey points to those calculated for the closest laser points.

4.1 Laser Noise and Relative Accuracy

Laser point absolute accuracy is largely a function of laser noise and relative accuracy. To minimize these contributions to absolute error, we first performed a number of noise filtering and calibration procedures prior to evaluating absolute accuracy.

LiDAR and True-Color Orthophotographs: Airborne Data Acquisition and Processing: Lassen and Plumas National Forests

Laser Noise

For any given target, laser noise is the breadth of the data cloud per laser return (i.e., last, first, etc.). Lower intensity surfaces (roads, rooftops, still/calm water) experience higher laser noise. The laser noise range for this survey was approximately 0.02 meters.

Relative Accuracy

Relative accuracy refers to the internal consistency of the data set - the ability to place a laser point in the same location over multiple flight lines, GPS conditions, and aircraft attitudes. Affected by system attitude offsets, scale, and GPS/IMU drift, internal consistency is measured as the divergence between points from different flight lines within an overlapping area. Divergence is most apparent when flight lines are opposing. When the LiDAR system is well calibrated, the line-to-line divergence is low (<10 cm). See Appendix A for further information on sources of error and operational measures that can be taken to improve relative accuracy.

Relative Accuracy Calibration Methodology

- 1. <u>Manual System Calibration</u>: Calibration procedures for each mission require solving geometric relationships that relate measured swath-to-swath deviations to misalignments of system attitude parameters. Corrected scale, pitch, roll and heading offsets were calculated and applied to resolve misalignments. The raw divergence between lines was computed after the manual calibration was completed and reported for each survey area.
- 2. <u>Automated Attitude Calibration</u>: All data were tested and calibrated using TerraMatch automated sampling routines. Ground points were classified for each individual flight line and used for line-to-line testing. System misalignment offsets (pitch, roll and heading) and scale were solved for each individual mission and applied to respective mission datasets. The data from each mission were then blended when imported together to form the entire area of interest.
- 3. <u>Automated Z Calibration</u>: Ground points per line were utilized to calculate the vertical divergence between lines caused by vertical GPS drift. Automated Z calibration was the final step employed for relative accuracy calibration.

4.2 Absolute Accuracy

The vertical accuracy of the LiDAR data is described as the mean and standard deviation (sigma ~ σ) of divergence of LiDAR point coordinates from RTK ground survey point coordinates. To provide a sense of the model predictive power of the dataset, the root mean square error (RMSE) for vertical accuracy is also provided. These statistics assume the error distributions for x, y, and z are normally distributed, thus we also consider the skew and kurtosis of distributions when evaluating error statistics.

Statements of statistical accuracy apply to fixed terrestrial surfaces only and may not be applied to areas of dense vegetation or steep terrain. To calibrate laser accuracy for the LiDAR dataset, 1651 RTK points were collected on fixed, hard-packed road surfaces within the two survey areas.

LiDAR and True-Color Orthophotographs: Airborne Data Acquisition and Processing: Lassen and Plumas National Forests

5. Photo Accuracy Assessment

To assess spatial accuracy of the orthophotographs, they are compared against control points identified from the LIDAR intensity images. The control points were collected and measured on surface features such as painted road-lines, and boulders in the stream beds. The accuracy of the final mosaic, expressed as root mean square error (RMSE), was calculated in relation to the LiDAR-derived control points. **Figure 3** displays the co-registration between orthorectified photographs and LiDAR intensity images.

Figure 3. Example of co-registration of color images with LiDAR intensity images at Rock Creek Dam.



LiDAR and True-Color Orthophotographs: Airborne Data Acquisition and Processing: Lassen and Plumas National Forests

6. Study Area Results

Summary statistics for point resolution and accuracy (relative and absolute) of the LiDAR data collected in the Blacks Mountain and Storrie survey areas are presented below in terms of central tendency, variation around the mean, and the spatial distribution of the data (for point resolution by bin).

6.1 Data Summary

Table 2. LiDAR Resolution and Accuracy - Specifications and Achieved Values

	Targeted	Achieved
Resolution:	≥ 8 points/m ² for BMEF ≥ 4 points/m ² for Storrie	6.95 points/m ² for BMEF 6.77 points/m ² for Storrie
*Vertical Accuracy (1 σ):	≤15 cm	3.5 cm

 Table 3. Photo Resolution and Accuracy - Specifications and Achieved Values

	Targeted	Achieved
Resolution:	≤30 cm	30 cm
Horizontal Accuracy (1 σ)	≤45 cm	31 cm

6.2 LiDAR Point Density/Resolution

The data density in BMEF falls slightly below the targeted density, while the point density in Storrie exceeds the targeted density. It is not uncommon for some types of surfaces (e.g. dense vegetation, agricultural fields, and water) to return fewer pulses than the laser originally emitted. These discrepancies between 'native' and 'delivered' density will vary depending on terrain, land cover and the prevalence of water bodies.

Ground classifications were derived from automated ground surface modeling and manual, supervised classifications where it was determined that the automated model had failed. Ground return densities will be lower in areas of dense vegetation, water, or buildings.

Within the Storrie Fire study area, some sites were dominated by particularly dense, low-lying vegetation which was impenetrable to the laser pulse. In these areas, the true ground surface (rocks and dirt) could not be differentiated consistently from the dense vegetation covering it. The resulting ground model has increased texture with some last pulses returned by this vegetation classified as ground (**Figure 4**).

LiDAR and True-Color Orthophotographs: Airborne Data Acquisition and Processing: Lassen and Plumas National Forests

Figure 4. a) Orthophotos displayed with the LiDAR ground model showing the transition from normal ground texture to rough ground texture resulting from low-lying impenetrable vegetation. b) Cross section of LiDAR point data across the interface of normal and rough ground texture (red points are ground, green points are vegetation).





LiDAR and True-Color Orthophotographs: Airborne Data Acquisition and Processing: Lassen and Plumas National Forests



Figure 5. Density distribution for ground-return laser points per 1/100th USGS quad.

LiDAR and True-Color Orthophotographs: Airborne Data Acquisition and Processing: Lassen and Plumas National Forests



LiDAR and True-Color Orthophotographs: Airborne Data Acquisition and Processing: Lassen and Plumas National Forests



Figure 6. Density distribution for first return laser points per 1/100th USGS quad.

LiDAR and True-Color Orthophotographs: Airborne Data Acquisition and Processing: Lassen and Plumas National Forests



LiDAR and True-Color Orthophotographs: Airborne Data Acquisition and Processing: Lassen and Plumas National Forests

LiDAR data resolution for the Blacks Mountain and Storrie survey areas:

- Average Point (First Return) Density = 6.95 points/m² for BMEF = 6.77 points/m² for Storrie Fire
- Average Ground Point Density = 1.25 points/m²

Figure 7. Density distribution for first return laser points for both survey areas



Figure 8. Density distribution for ground-classified laser points for both survey areas



LiDAR and True-Color Orthophotographs: Airborne Data Acquisition and Processing: Lassen and Plumas National Forests

6.3 LiDAR Relative Accuracy Calibration Results

Relative accuracies for the Blacks Mountain and Storrie survey areas:

- Project Average = 0.082m
- Median Relative Accuracy = 0.084m
- \circ 1 σ Relative Accuracy = 0.099m
- \circ 2 σ Relative Accuracy = 0.131m

Figure 9. Distribution of relative accuracies per flight line, non slope-adjusted



6.4 LiDAR Absolute Accuracy

Absolute accuracies for the Blacks Mountain and Storrie survey areas

Table 4. Absolute Accuracy - Deviation between laser points and RTK hard surface survey points

RTK Survey Sample Size (n): 1651		
Root Mean Square Error (RMSE) = 0.040m		Minimum ∆z = -0.118m
Standard Deviations		Maximum ∆z = 0.159m
1 sigma (σ): 0.035m	2 sigma (σ): 0.069m	Average Δz = 0.028m

LiDAR and True-Color Orthophotographs: Airborne Data Acquisition and Processing: Lassen and Plumas National Forests



Figure 10. Absolute Accuracy - Histogram Statistics, based on hard surface points

Figure 11. Absolute Accuracy - Absolute Deviation, based on 1651 hard surface points



LiDAR and True-Color Orthophotographs: Airborne Data Acquisition and Processing: Lassen and Plumas National Forests

6.5 Orthophotograph Accuracy

Aerial imagery accuracy for the Blacks Mountain and Storrie survey areas are found in Figures 12 and 13 and Table 5 below.

Figure 12. Orthophoto tile delineations for the Blacks Mountain and Storrie survey areas displayed with accuracy checkpoints.



LiDAR and True-Color Orthophotographs: Airborne Data Acquisition and Processing: Lassen and Plumas National Forests

 Table 5. Deviation between aerial photos and intensity images based on 40 accuracy check points.

	Mean	Standard Deviation (1 Sigma)	Root Mean Square Error (RMSE)
Blacks Mountain and Storrie Photos	0.001m	0.31m	0.30m

Figure 13. Checkpoint residuals derived from comparing aerial photos to intensity images



LiDAR and True-Color Orthophotographs: Airborne Data Acquisition and Processing: Lassen and Plumas National Forests

6.6 Projection/Datum and Units

	Projection:	UTM Zone 10 North, NAD 83
Datum	Vertical:	NAVD88 Geoid03
Datum	Horizontal:	NAD83
Units:		meters

7. Deliverables

	• All laser return points (LAS v. 1.2 and ASCII formats)		
Point Data:	Ground classified points (ASCII format)		
Vector Data:	 LiDAR tile index (1/4th and 1/100th USGS quad delineation, shapefile format) Orthophoto Image index (shapefile format) SBET files (shapefile format) 		
Raster Data:	 Bare-Earth Model (1 m ESRI GRID format; 1/4th USGS quad delineation) Highest-Hit Model (1 m ESRI GRID format; 1/4th USGS quad delineation) Intensity Images (0.5 m GeoTIFF format; 1/100th quad delineation) Raw TIF image frames Orthorectified True Color Imagery (GeoTIFF and SID formats, 30cm resolution) 		
Data Report:	 Full Report containing introduction, methodology, and accuracy 		

LiDAR and True-Color Orthophotographs: Airborne Data Acquisition and Processing: Lassen and Plumas National Forests

Point Data (per 1/100th USGS Quad delineation*)

• LAS v1.1 or ASCII Format

*Note: Delineation based on 1/100th of a full 7.5-minute USGS Quad (.075-minutes). Larger delineations, such as 1/64th USGS Quads, resulted in unmanageable file sizes due to high data density.

Figure 14. Quadrangle naming convention for 1/100th of a 7.5-minute USGS Quad.



LiDAR and True-Color Orthophotographs: Airborne Data Acquisition and Processing: Lassen and Plumas National Forests

8. Selected Images

Figure 15. 3D view of the Feather River and Chips Creek confluence, facing Northwest and looking upstream on Chips Creek. (top image is derived from ground-classified LiDAR points, and the bottom image is derived from highest-hit LiDAR points).



LiDAR and True-Color Orthophotographs: Airborne Data Acquisition and Processing: Lassen and Plumas National Forests

Figure 16. 3D view looking Northwest up a bend in the Feather River with upstream view of Chips Creek (top image is derived from ground-classified LiDAR points, and the bottom image is derived from highest-hit LiDAR points).



LiDAR and True-Color Orthophotographs: Airborne Data Acquisition and Processing: Lassen and Plumas National Forests

Figure 17. LiDAR derived point cloud image colored by Orthophotographs. View of Yellow Creek near Beldin.



LiDAR and True-Color Orthophotographs: Airborne Data Acquisition and Processing: Lassen and Plumas National Forests

Figure 18. LiDAR derived point cloud image colored by Othophotographs. View looking Southeast down Granite Creek from Chambers Peak.



LiDAR and True-Color Orthophotographs: Airborne Data Acquisition and Processing: Lassen and Plumas National Forests

9. Glossary

- <u>1-sigma (σ) Absolute Deviation</u>: Value for which the data are within one standard deviation (approximately 68^{th} percentile) of a normally distributed data set.
- <u>2-sigma (σ) Absolute Deviation</u>: Value for which the data are within two standard deviations (approximately 95th percentile) of a normally distributed data set.
- <u>Root Mean Square Error (RMSE)</u>: A statistic used to approximate the difference between real-world points and the LiDAR points. It is calculated by squaring all the values, then taking the average of the squares and taking the square root of the average.
- <u>Pulse Rate (PR)</u>: The rate at which laser pulses are emitted from the sensor; typically measured as thousands of pulses per second (kHz).
- <u>Pulse Returns</u>: For every laser pulse emitted, the Leica ALS 50 Phase II system can record *up to four* wave forms reflected back to the sensor. Portions of the wave form that return earliest are the highest element in multi-tiered surfaces such as vegetation. Portions of the wave form that return last are the lowest element in multi-tiered surfaces.
- <u>Accuracy</u>: The statistical comparison between known (surveyed) points and laser points. Typically measured as the standard deviation (sigma, σ) and root mean square error (RMSE).
- <u>Intensity Values</u>: The peak power ratio of the laser return to the emitted laser. It is a function of surface reflectivity.
- Data Density: A common measure of LiDAR resolution, measured as points per square meter.
- <u>Spot Spacing</u>: Also a measure of LiDAR resolution, measured as the average distance between laser points.
- <u>Nadir</u>: A single point or locus of points on the surface of the earth directly below a sensor as it progresses along its flight line.
- <u>Scan Angle</u>: The angle from nadir to the edge of the scan, measured in degrees. Laser point accuracy typically decreases as scan angles increase.
- <u>Overlap</u>: The area shared between flight lines, typically measured in percents; 100% overlap is essential to ensure complete coverage and reduce laser shadows.
- <u>DTM / DEM</u>: These often-interchanged terms refer to models made from laser points. The digital elevation model (DEM) refers to all surfaces, including bare ground and vegetation, while the digital terrain model (DTM) refers only to those points classified as ground.
- <u>Real-Time Kinematic (RTK) Survey</u>: GPS surveying is conducted with a GPS base station deployed over a known monument with a radio connection to a GPS rover. Both the base station and rover receive differential GPS data and the baseline correction is solved between the two. This type of ground survey is accurate to 1.5 cm or less.

10. Citations

Soininen, A. 2004. TerraScan User's Guide. TerraSolid.

LiDAR and True-Color Orthophotographs: Airborne Data Acquisition and Processing: Lassen and Plumas National Forests

Appendix LiDAR accuracy error sources and solutions:

Type of Error	Source	Post Processing Solution
GPS (Statia (Via amatia)	Long Base Lines	None
	Poor Satellite Constellation	None
(Static/Killellatic)	Poor Antenna Visibility	Reduce Visibility Mask
Relative Accuracy	Poor System Calibration	Recalibrate IMU and sensor offsets/settings
	Inaccurate System	None
Laser Noise	Poor Laser Timing	None
	Poor Laser Reception	None
	Poor Laser Power	None
	Irregular Laser Shape	None

Operational measures taken to improve relative accuracy:

- 1. <u>Low Flight Altitude</u>: Terrain following is employed to maintain a constant above ground level (AGL). Laser horizontal errors are a function of flight altitude above ground (i.e., ~ 1/3000th AGL flight altitude).
- 2. Focus Laser Power at narrow beam footprint: A laser return must be received by the system above a power threshold to accurately record a measurement. The strength of the laser return is a function of laser emission power, laser footprint, flight altitude and the reflectivity of the target. While surface reflectivity cannot be controlled, laser power can be increased and low flight altitudes can be maintained.
- 3. <u>Reduced Scan Angle</u>: Edge-of-scan data can become inaccurate. The scan angle was reduced to a maximum of ±14° from nadir, creating a narrow swath width and greatly reducing laser shadows from trees and buildings.
- 4. <u>Quality GPS</u>: Flights took place during optimal GPS conditions (e.g., 6 or more satellites and PDOP [Position Dilution of Precision] less than 3.0). Before each flight, the PDOP was determined for the survey day. During all flight times, a dual frequency DGPS base station recording at 1-second epochs was utilized and a maximum baseline length between the aircraft and the control points was less than 19 km (11.5 miles) at all times.
- 5. <u>Ground Survey</u>: Ground survey point accuracy (i.e. <1.5 cm RMSE) occurs during optimal PDOP ranges and targets a minimal baseline distance of 4 miles between GPS rover and base. Robust statistics are, in part, a function of sample size (n) and distribution. Ground survey RTK points are distributed to the extent possible throughout multiple flight lines and across the survey area.
- 6. <u>50% Side-Lap (100% Overlap)</u>: Overlapping areas are optimized for relative accuracy testing. Laser shadowing is minimized to help increase target acquisition from multiple scan angles. Ideally, with a 50% side-lap, the most nadir portion of one flight line coincides with the edge (least nadir) portion of overlapping flight lines. A minimum of 50% side-lap with terrain-followed acquisition prevents data gaps.
- 7. <u>Opposing Flight Lines</u>: All overlapping flight lines are opposing. Pitch, roll and heading errors are amplified by a factor of two relative to the adjacent flight line(s), making misalignments easier to detect and resolve.

LiDAR and True-Color Orthophotographs: Airborne Data Acquisition and Processing: Lassen and Plumas National Forests